

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**To:** [A303 Stonehenge](#)  
**Subject:** A303 Stonehenge proposals  
**Date:** 25 September 2022 17:12:13

---

Dear Secretary of State,

I wish to respond to the invitation to comment on the Unesco Advisory Mission's report, and the National Highways response. I fully support the Mission's recommendation to further evaluate the southern bypass alternative instead of the tunnel option, due to its adverse effect on the Stonehenge World Heritage Site. I do not consider that the suggested longer tunnel significantly mitigates the harm of the NH tunnel proposal. The NH response does not address the Mission's concerns, they have continually failed to acknowledge the arguments against their proposal by so many bodies, including your predecessor, have failed in their duty to fully assess alternative proposals, and have failed to provide you with proper consideration of the alternatives.

I ask that you instruct National Highways to provide a full and objective assessment of alternative proposals for the route. In the event that you decide to proceed with the NH option, I expect you to make it subject to a further full public examination.

Yours faithfully,

John Ellis

